# CENTRAL FAX CENTER

## MAY 3 1 2005

PTC/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0851-0031

Under the Pr	eneawork Reduction Act of 19	195 no nerson	U.S	i. Patent and T	rademari	k Office: !	through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 J.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE displays a valid OMB control number.	
			Application Number		09/678,783			
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date	October 4	October 4, 2000			
FORM			First Named Inventor	Nicholas \	Nicholas W. DAWES			
			Art Unit	2666	2666			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name	Frank Duc	Frank Duong			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 15			Attorney Docket Number	551P08US-1				
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)								
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached			Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers		After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment/Reply  After Final  After Final  Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request  Express Abandonment Request  Information Disclosure Statement  Certifled Copy of Priority Document(s)  Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application  Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Remar The Conto the US	Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on C ks	n to Convert to a ional Application of Attorney, Revocation e of Correspondence Address nal Disclaimer est for Refund number of CD(s)		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):  1) Request for Continued Examination 2) Interview Summary  any underpayment or credit any overpayment and any additional fees be necessary.		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
Firm Name Shapiro Cohen								
Signature								
Printed name Dennis S. K. Leung							——— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date	May 31, 2005			Reg. No.	47,325	i		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:								
Signature May Ellin Ichillenberg								
Typed or printed name Mary Ellen Schel		ellenberg	(703-872-9306)			Date	May 31, 2005	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including galthering, préparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing its burreen, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, cell 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAY 3 1 2005

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln No.

09/678,783

**Applicant** 

Nicholas W. DAWES et al.

Filed

October 4, 2000

TC/AU

2666

Examiner

Frank Duong

Docket No.

551P08US01

May 31, 2005

Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Customer Window, Mail Stop AF Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, Virginia 22202 U.S.A.

#### APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Dear Sir:

A Telephone Examiner Interview was conducted on May 4, 2005 with Examiner Frank Duong.

Examiner Duong confirmed that he had received a Proposed Amendment sent via facsimile on May 3, 2005, containing a listing of Claims.

Examiner Duong followed by urging Applicant to incorporate Claim 17 into Claim 1 as the Applicant would obtain a swift allowance of the case. Mr. Leung acknowledged that he would require specific approval from the Applicant to narrow the scope of each of Claims 1 to 10, as suggested, by including aspects of allowable Claim 17 directed toward measuring a network performance of each segment in the routing.

Appln No. 09/679,783 Interview Summary of May 31, 2005 Interview of May 4, 2005

Mr. Leung advised that in Applicant's view there are patentable distinctions over the Grau et al. prior art patent. The Grau et al. patent is directed toward a route tracing tool, which does not determine the topology of a network, but rather traces the routing between known network nodes to display these tracings in a graphical user interface. In contrast, Mr. Leung explained that the present invention is directed towards determining the connections between network objects in a network, in order to subsequently determine the routing of packets between network objects through WAN and LAN segments.

Mr. Leung remarked that the Grau et al. patent discloses, at column 8, line 14, that "the atlas manager creates a new atlas by invoking the atlas creator from the network topology database". Thus, the Grau et al. patent teaches that the network topology is known, and furthermore that the Grau et al. patent does not determine any of the connections between network nodes.

Mr. Leung thereafter discussed with the Examiner a separate Proposed Amendment in which Claim 1 was amended to expressly stipulate that the claimed methodology determined connections between network objects.

While Examiner Duong agreed that the Grau et al. patent may not teach the same invention, Examiner Duong was uncertain as to whether Applicant's proposed amendment of Claim 1 would be allowed.

Examiner Duong explained that Claim 1 as proposed to be amended by Applicant is would likely not be allowed because the addition of a new limitation would require a further review and thus necessitate filing a Request for Continued Examination (RCE). Examiner Duong therefore explained that unless Claim 17 is imported into Claim 1, Applicant would be required to file an RCE to have the Examiner review the Claims. Examiner Duong also

Appln No. 09/679,783 Interview Summary of May 31, 2005 Interview of May 4, 2005

suggested that the limitation in Claim 2 may be allowable in view of the discussions in the Interview, were Applicant to import the subject matter of Claim 2 into the proposed Claim 1. Examiner Duong further stated that provided no other references were found that anticipated the limitation of Claim 2, Claim 1, amended to include the limitation of Claim 2, that is, the limitation in Claim 1 a) would read "dividing said network into LAN segments of non-routing objects and WAN segments of routing objects connecting said LAN segments", would likely be deemed allowable.

In sum, Examiner Duong advised that were Applicant to amend Claim 17 into Claim 1, the objected to Claims Applicant proposed would be allowed. However, if Applicant responded with the Claim amendments as proposed, Applicant would be required to the file an RCE at an additional cost to have the Examiner review the Claims and search the prior art. No agreement was reached in the Examiner Interview.

#### Conclusion

Should any further fees or payments be necessary for entry of this amendment and further prosecution of this application, the undersigned hereby authorizes the Commissioner to debit and/or credit our Deposit Account No. 16-0600.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dennis S. K. Lleung

Reg. No. 47,325

C/O SHAPIRO COHEN P.O. Box 3440 Station D Ottawa, ON K1P 6P1 CANADA

Telephone: (613)232-5300

/DSKL/NR/ms